Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

Crystal structure of $RE_{12}Fe_{2+x}Pb_{3-x}$ (RE = Tm, Lu) compounds

I. Bigun, Ya. Kalychak*

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Kyryla and Mefodia Str. 6, 79005 Lviv, Ukraine

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 12 January 2010 Received in revised form 16 February 2010 Accepted 20 February 2010 Available online 3 March 2010

Keywords: Rare-earth metals Iron Lead Crystal structure X-ray diffraction

1. Introduction

A number of compounds with high content of rare-earth element (RE) in the RE-T-In(Pb) systems, where T-transition metal (Fe, Co, Ni), was found recently. For example, compounds crystallizing in the Sm₁₂Ni₆In (space group Im3, Pearson code cl38) [1], Ho₆Co₂Ga (space group *Immm*, Pearson code oI36) [2] and Er₁₂Fe₂In₃ (space group *I*4/*mmm*, Pearson code *tI*34) [3] structure types form homological series $RE_{3(x+y)}T_{2x}X_y$ (X = In, Pb; x-number of RE₃T₂ fragments, y-number of RE₃X fragments). The compounds with the $Sm_{12}Ni_6In$ structure type were revealed in some RE-{Co, Ni}-In [1] and RE-{Co, Ni}-Pb [4] systems, the compounds crystallizing in the Ho₆Co₂Ga structure type were found in the RE–Co–In [5-7] and RE-{Co, Ni}-Pb [8,9] systems. The compounds with the Er₁₂Fe₂In₃ structure exist only in the systems RE-Fe-In [3]. Considering such similarity of the RE-T-In and RE-T-Pb systems we expected the formation of compounds RE₁₂Fe₂Pb₃ with the Er₁₂Fe₂In₃ type of structure.

2. Experimental details

Eight samples of the composition RE₁₂Fe₂Pb₃ (RE = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu) were synthesized. The samples, each with a total mass of 1 g, were prepared by arc-melting of pure components (the purity of ingredients is better than 99.9 wt.%) under high-purity argon atmosphere. The ingots were remelted twice to ensure homogeneity. The weight losses after the melting were less than 1%. Homogenizing annealing was carried out at 870K for 720h in evacuated quartz tubes. After the thermal treatment, the ampules with samples were quenched in cold water.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: kalychak@franko.lviv.ua (Ya. Kalychak).

The crystal structures of Tm₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} and Lu₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} compounds were refined from X-ray powder diffraction data. The investigated compounds crystallize in the Er₁₂Fe₂In₃ structure type (space group *I4/mmm*, Pearson code *tI*34) with the lattice parameters *a* = 9.6387(5), *c* = 9.3286(5) Å for Tm₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} and *a* = 9.5860(7), *c* = 9.2428(7) Å for Lu₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

X-ray powder diffraction data were collected on automatic diffractometer STOE STADI P with linear PSD detector (transmission mode, $2\theta/\omega$ -scan; Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation, curved germanium [1 1 1] monochromator; 2θ -range $6.0 \le 2\theta \le 124.5^{\circ}2\theta$ with step 0.015°2 θ ; PSD step 0.480°2 θ , scan time 300 s/step). The value of linear absorption coefficient was estimated from the logarithmic ratio between the primary beam intensity and its intensity after passing through background and measured samples.

A preliminary data processing, X-ray profile and phase analyses were performed using the STOE WinXPOW (version 2.21) program package [10]. The crystal structure refinement was carried out using the Fullprof software [11].

3. Results and discussion

New compounds were found only in the samples with Tm and Lu as a result of X-ray phase analysis. The presence of additional phases: RE₅Pb₃ [12] was registered for both samples, and besides Lu [13] was observed for the Lu₁₂Fe₂Pb₃ sample. The crystal structure of new compounds was refined using Rietveld method. Observed, calculated and difference X-ray diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 1. The structure was indexed in tetragonal cell. The composition of samples, the reflection intensities and the calculated lattice parameters confirm that compounds crystallize in the Er₁₂Fe₂In₃ structure type (space group *I*4/*mmm*, Pearson code tI34). Crystal data and details of the structure refinements for the Tm₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} and Lu₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} compounds (composition of compounds from structure refinement) are listed in Table 1. During crystal structure refinement of the compounds with Er₁₂Fe₂In₃ structure type, Tm (or Lu) atoms were placed in Er atomic positions, namely 16n and 8h. Different variants for the location of Fe and Pb atoms were considered. Iron atoms can occupy only 4e site (00z; $z \sim 0.38$) forming connected Fe2 pairs with acceptable interatomic distances ~2.2 Å, which are, however, unacceptable for Pb atoms. At

^{0925-8388/\$ -} see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.02.160



Fig. 1. Observed (\bullet) calculated (–) and difference X-ray diffraction patterns of the plumbides $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ (tick 1: $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$; tick 2: Tm_5Pb_3) and $Lu_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ (tick 1: $Lu_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$; tick 2: Lu_5Pb_3 , ticks 3: Lu).

the beginning of refinement Pb atoms were placed in 4c and 2a sites, taking into account nominal composition of the RE₁₂Fe₂Pb₃ alloy and larger coordination numbers of atoms in these positions, comparing to 4e position. However, the existence of additional phases R₅Pb₃ (while negligible weight losses during preparation) indicates change of composition of compounds to lower Pb content. Fur-

thermore, inconsistent values of equivalent isotropic displacement parameters in 2*a* Pb site appeared for both samples. The refinement of occupancy parameters at the 2*a* position revealed Pb/Fe mixing. The calculated $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ and $Lu_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ compositions appeared to be identical for both plumbides. They are very close to the composition of corresponding $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.54}In_{2.46}$ and $Lu_{12}Fe_{2.57}In_{2.43}$ indides [3]. Final positions of the atoms and their thermal parameters for $RE_{12}Fe_{2+x}Pb_{3-x}$ (RE = Tm, Lu) are listed in Table 2.

Projection of the crystal structure of $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ on the YZ plane and the coordination polyhedra of the atoms are shown in Fig. 2. The coordination polyhedra of the thulium atoms are pentagonal prisms with additional atoms (coordination numbers are 13 and 14), for the Fe1 atoms—a square antiprism formed by Tm atoms with the same Fe1 additional atom (CN 9), for the Pb1 atoms—icosahedra [PbTm₁₂], and for Fe2/Pb2 statistical mixture—hexahedron [MTm₈]. The coordination sphere of M atoms can also contain 2 atoms of Fe1, located at 3.569(7) Å. Thus, we can assume that coordination number of M atoms is 10. This value is between CN of atoms Pb and Fe what agrees with atomic radii of components.

The interatomic distances $(\delta, \text{ Å})$, the Δ values $(\Delta = 100(\delta - \sum r) / \sum r$, where $\sum r$ is the sum of the respective atomic radii) and coordination numbers of the atoms for Tm₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} compound are listed in Table 3 (values of the atomic radii are taken from [14]: r(Tm)=1.746 Å, r(Lu)=1.734 Å, r(Fe)=1.241 Å, r(Pb)=1.75 Å. The atomic radii of the mixture of randomly distributed Fe and Pb atoms (M) were calculated using the equations $r(\text{M})=r(\text{Fe})\cdot\chi(\text{Fe})+r(\text{Pb})\cdot\chi(\text{Pb})$, $\chi(\text{Fe})+\chi(\text{Pb})=1$, where $\chi(\text{Fe})$ and $\chi(\text{Pb})$ are the iron and lead contents of the statistical mixture (r(M)=1.4955 Å).

Some Tm–Tm, Tm–M, Tm–Pb interatomic distances are significantly shorter in comparison with the sum of the respective atomic radii. This fact indicates the existence of strong interactions between these atoms. The greatest reduction of the interatomic distances is observed between Fe–Fe atoms (Δ = –11.76%). Iron atoms form chains of connected pairs. Such pairs of iron atoms are formed in compounds with small content of this element. The rest of interatomic distances in Tm₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} are equal or larger than the sum of respective atomic radii.

Table 1

Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for the $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ and $Lu_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ compounds.

Phase	Tm ₁₂ Fe _{2.55} Pb _{2.45}	Lu ₁₂ Fe _{2.55} Pb _{2.45}
Weight fractions of phases, %	$\begin{array}{l} Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45},82.5(10)\\ Tm_5Pb_3,^a17.5(4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Lu_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}, 76.6(10)\\ Lu_5Pb_{3}, ^a 12.2(4)\\ Lu, ^b 11.2(4) \end{array}$
Structure type	Er ₁₂ Fe ₂ In ₃	Er ₁₂ Fe ₂ In ₃
Space group	I4/mmm	I4/mmm
Pearson code	tl34	t/34
Lattice parameters, Å	a=9.6387(5)	a = 9.5860(7)
	c = 9.3286(5)	c = 9.2428(7)
Volume, Å ³	866.67(8)	849.34(11)
Ζ	2	2
Density (calc.), g/cm ³	10.261(2)	10.786(3)
Absorption correction, $\mu R_{\rm eff}$	1.6	2.5
Profile parameters U, V, and W	0.042(9), 0.009(7), and 0.010(1)	0.03(1), 0.01(1), and 0.014(2)
Asymmetry parameters P_1 and P_2	0.057(8) and 0.014(3)	0.062(5) and 0.003(1)
R _{Bragg} , %	4.27	2.59
R _F , %	3.21	1.84
R _p , %	4.19	4.87
R _{wp} , %	5.96	6.79

^a Tm₅Pb₃, Lu₅Pb₃ (Mn₅Si₃ structure type; space group $P6_3/mcm$; Z=2). R1: 6g x 0 1/4; R2: 4d 1/3 2/3 0; Pb: 6g x 0 1/4 [12]. For Tm₅Pb₃: a=8.8214(5), c=6.4787(4)Å. $x_{R1}=0.2365(4)$; $x_{Pb}=0.6041(4)$. $R_{Bragg}=7.50\%$, $R_F=4.47\%$. For Lu₅Pb₃: a=8.7452(7), c=6.4336(6)Å. $x_{R1}=0.2359(7)$; $x_{Pb}=0.6045(6)$. $R_{Bragg}=5.17\%$, $R_F=3.54\%$).

^b Lu (Mg structure type; space group P6₃/mmc; Z=2; a=3.5110(4), c=5.5719(3)Å. Lu: 2c 1/3 2/3 1/4. R_{Bragg} = 3.87%, R_F = 2.46%) [13].

Table 2

Atomic and thermal parameters for $RE_{12}Fe_{2+x}Pb_{3-x}$ (RE = Tm, Lu) compounds.

Atom		Occupation	X	у	Z	$B_{\rm iso},{\rm \AA}^2$
Tm ₁₂ Fe _{2.55} Pb _{2.45}						
Tm1	16n	1.00	0	0.2367(2)	0.1939(2)	1.03(8)
Tm2	8h	1.00	0.3052(2)	0.3052(2)	0	0.8(1)
Fe1	4e	1.00	0	0	0.3826(8)	0.6(2)
Pb1	4 <i>c</i>	1.00	1/2	0	1/2	1.4(1)
Pb/Fe	2a	0.45(1)/0.55(1)	0	0	0	1.2(1)
Lu ₁₂ Fe _{2.55} Pb _{2.45}						
Lu1	16n	1.00	0	0.236(3)	0.1936(2)	1.3(1)
Lu2	8h	1.00	0.3060(2)	0.3060(2)	0	0.9(1)
Fe1	4e	1.00	0	0	0.383(1)	0.2(3)
Pb1	4 <i>c</i>	1.00	1/2	0	1/2	1.6(1)
Pb/Fe	2a	0.45(1)/0.55(1)	0	0	0	2.1(5)



Fig. 2. Projection of the crystal structure of Tm₁₂Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45} on the YZ plane and the coordination polyhedra of the Tm1 (*a*), Tm2 (*b*), Fe (*c*) atoms, Pb (*d*), M (M = 0.55Fe + 0.45Pb) (*e*).

Table 3

Interatomic distances (δ, \dot{A}) , Δ values $(\Delta = 100(\delta - \sum r) / \sum r)$, where $\sum r$ is the sum of the respective atomic radii and coordination numbers of the atoms for $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ compound. M = 0.55Fe + 0.45Pb.

Atoms		<i>δ</i> , Å	⊿,%	CN	Atoms		δ, Å	Δ,%	CN
Tm1	1 Fe1	2.882(5)	-11.09	13	Fe1	1 Fe1	2.190(11)	-11.76	9
	1 M	2.912(2)	-10.16			4 Tm2	2.872(3)	-3.85	
	1 Pb1	3.117(2)	-10.84			4 Tm1	2.882(5)	-3.51	
	2 Tm1	3.227(2)	-7.59						
	2 Tm2	3.441(2)	-1.46		Pb1	4 Tm1	3.117(2)	-10.84	12
	2 Tm2	3.516(2)	0.69			4 Tm2	3.490(2)	-0.17	
	Tm1	3.618(3)	3.61			4 Tm1	3.820(2)	9.27	
	2 Tm1	3.739(2)	7.07						
	1 Pb1	3.820(2)	9.27		М	8 Tm1	2.912(2)	-10.16	8+2
Tm2	2 Fe1	2.872(3)	-3.85	14		2Fe1	3.569(7)	30.42	
	4 Tm1	3.441(2)	-1.46						
	2 Pb1	3.490(2)	-0.17						
	4 Tm1	3.516(2)	0.69						
	2 Tm2	3.755(3)	7.53						

4. Conclusions

Two new plumbides $RE_{12}Fe_{2+x}Pb_{3-x}$ (x=0.55(1)) with Tm and Lu were synthesized. The compounds crystallize in the $Er_{12}Fe_2In_3$ structure type (space group *I4/mmm*, Pearson code *tI34*) with the lattice parameters a=9.6387(5), c=9.3286(5)Å for $Tm_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$ and a=9.5860(7), c=9.2428(7)Å for $Lu_{12}Fe_{2.55}Pb_{2.45}$. These compounds are characterized by partial statistical distribution of Fe and Pb atoms and formation of Fe2 pairs with reduced interatomic distance 2.190 Å.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. P. Demchenko (Laboratory of X-ray analysis of Ivan Franko National University of Lviv) for the intensity data collections.

References

 Ya.M. Kalychak, V.I. Zaremba, A. Stepien-Damm, Ya.V. Galadzhun, L.G. Aksel'rud, Kristallografiya 43 (1) (1998) 17 (in Russian).

- [2] R.E. Gladyshevskii, Yu.M. Grin, Ya.P. Yarmolyuk, Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. R. S. R. Ser. A 2 (1983) 70 (in Ukrainian).
- [3] M.V. Dzevenko, R.I. Zaremba, V.H. Hlukhyy, et al., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 663 (2007) 724.
- [4] L.D. Gulay, Ya.M. Kalychak, M. Wołcyrz, M. Lukaszewicz, J. Alloys Compd. 311 (2) (2000) 238.
- [5] Ya.M. Kalychak, V.I. Zaremba, P.Yu. Zavalij, Z. Kristallografiya 208 (1993) 380.
- [6] V.I. Zaremba, Ya.M. Kalychak, M.V. Dzevenko, et al., Monatsh. Chem. 138 (2007) 101.
- [7] F. Canepa, M. Napoletano, P. Manfrinetti, F. Merlo, J. Alloys Compd. 334 (2002) 34.
- [8] L.D. Gulay, M. Wolcyrz, J. Alloys Compd. 315 (2001) 164.
- [9] L.D. Gulay, M. Wolcyrz, J. Alloys Compd. 349 (2003) 201.
- [10] Stoe WinXPOW, version 2.21, Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, 2007.
- [11] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, FULLPROF: A Program for Rietveld Refinement and Pattern Matching Analysis//Abstracts of the Satellite Meeting on Powder Diffraction on the XV Congress of the IUCr, Toulouse, 1990, p. 127.
- [12] W. Jeitschko, E. Parthe, Acta Crystallogr. 22 (1967) 551.
- [13] I.R. Harris, G.V. Raynor, J. Less-Common Metals 17 (1969) 336.
- [14] J. Emsley, The Elements, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.